

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. A94087US 9 08/286,413 08/05/94 MORRIS **EXAMINER** CIDCON 21M1/1027 SETH M NEHRBASS ART LINIT PAPER NUMBER PRAVEL HEWITT KIMBALL & KRIEGER 1177 WEST LOOP SOUTH 10TH FLOOR HOUSTON TX 77027-9095 2111 DATE MAILED: 10/27/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final. This application has been examined days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. X Claims Of the above, claims _____ ____ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims 4. Claims _ are rejected. 5. Claims are objected to. ____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 6. X Claims 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). _. has (have) been approved by the 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ___ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ______, has been ____approved; ____ disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. ______; filed on _____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in

accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other

-2-

Serial Number: 08/286,413

Art Unit: 2111

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-14, drawn to an apparatus for collecting and counting sponges and determining the amount of fluid contained therein, classified in Class 177, subclass 25.17.

Group II. Claims 15-19, drawn to a surgical sponge with an non-optical tag, classified in Class 604, subclass 362.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus could be used to collect other types of fluid soaked materials, such as paper towels. The subcombination has separate utility such as a sponge with a tag attached for automatic inventory control.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Gibson XXII
October 24, 1995

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 2100